

Note to Readers: *EHP* strives to ensure that all journal content is accessible to all readers. However, some figures and Supplemental Material published in *EHP* articles may not conform to 508 standards due to the complexity of the information being presented. If you need assistance accessing journal content, please contact ehp508@niehs.nih.gov. Our staff will work with you to assess and meet your accessibility needs within 3 working days.

Supplemental Material

Associations between Selected Xenobiotics and Antinuclear Antibodies in the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1999-2004

Gregg E. Dinse, Todd A. Jusko, Irene Z. Whitt, Carroll A. Co, Christine G. Parks, Minoru Satoh, Edward K.L. Chan, Kathryn M. Rose, Nigel J. Walker, Linda S. Birnbaum, Darryl C. Zeldin, Clarice R. Weinberg, and Frederick W. Miller

Table of Contents

Statistical Model

Accounting for censoring

Accounting for sampling

References

Table S1. Descriptive summaries and ANA associations in selected subgroups of 4,340 participants studied in the 1999-2004 National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys (NHANES).

Figure S1. Distribution of triclosan concentration by sex, parity, and ANA status, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1999-2004. Nonparametric Kaplan-Meier curves (Kaplan and Meier 1958) for ANA-positive (solid line) and ANA-negative (dotted line) participants are plotted separately for (A) males, (B) females, (C) nulliparous females, and (D) parous females. For each (x,y) point along a curve, the y-value is the estimated proportion of

participants with measurements below the triclosan concentration represented by the x-value. Concentrations below the limit of detection were treated as left censored when constructing the curves, which were not adjusted for covariates (age, race/ethnicity, time period, BMI, and PIR).